

2. On-site review of Mr. Jones' field activities, and interviews and observations of any selected authorized users (other than Mr. Jones) working at various locations.

D. Mr. Jones shall provide notice to the NRC seven days prior to working in areas of NRC jurisdiction under the provisions of 10 CFR 150.20.

#### V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Mr. Jones must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order.

The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this order and set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Jones or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Docketing and Services Section, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011-8064, and to Mr. Jones, if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Jones. If a person other than Mr. Jones requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Jones or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Otho Jones, Jones Inspection Services, or any other person adversely affected by this Order, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere

suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 11th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Hugh L. Thompson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards, and Operations Support.*

[FR Doc. 95-9506 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

## NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

### Joint Panel Meeting on Perceived Risks and Socioeconomic Impacts

Pursuant to its authority under section 5051 of Public Law 100-203, the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987, the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board's (the Board) Panel on the Environment & Public Health and Panel on Risk & Performance Analysis will hold a joint meeting May 23-24, 1995, in Las Vegas, Nevada. The meeting, which is open to the public, will be held at the St. Tropez Hotel, 455 East Harmon, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109; Tel (702) 369-5400; Fax (702) 369-1150. The meeting will begin at 1:00 P.M. on Tuesday, May 23, recess at approximately 5:00 P.M., and continue on Wednesday, May 24, from 8:30 A.M. to noon.

The meeting will consist of a panel discussion by a diverse group of social scientists. The topic for discussion is peoples' beliefs about the risks associated with a potential high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and how those beliefs might result in significant socioeconomic impacts. The Board is looking at this issue because socioeconomic impacts are addressed as part of the Department of Energy's site-suitability guidelines, 10 CFR 960.

As with all the Board's meetings, time is set aside on the agenda for comments and questions from the public. In order to ensure that everyone wishing to speak is offered time to do so, the Board encourages those who have comments to sign the *Public Comment Register* located at the sign-in table. Written comments for the record also may be submitted to the Board staff at the sign-in table.

The Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board was created by Congress in the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1987 to evaluate the technical and scientific validity of activities undertaken by the DOE in its program to manage the disposal of the nation's high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel. In that same legislation, Congress directed the DOE to characterize a site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for its suitability as a potential location for a permanent repository for the disposal of that waste.

Transcripts of the meeting will be available on computer disk or on a library-loan basis in paper format from Davonya Barnes, Board staff, beginning July 10, 1995. For further information, contact Frank Randall, External Affairs, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 910, Arlington, Virginia 22209; (703) 235-4473.

Dated: April 13, 1995.

**William Barnard,**

*Executive Director, Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-9510 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AM-M

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget.

**ACTION:** National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee (NISPPAC) meeting; notice of meeting and invitation for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Industrial Security Program Policy Advisory Committee will hold a meeting that shall serve as a forum to discuss National Industrial Security Program (NISP) policy issues in dispute, and to advise the Chairman on these issues. The agenda will include a discussion of the status of the NISP, the NISP Operating Manual, and accounting for security costs within industry. Written statements from the public will be accepted in lieu of an opportunity for comment at the meeting.

The Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) will host the meeting. ISOO is part of OMB's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, April 20, 1995, at 10 a.m., at the Information Security Oversight Office in Washington, DC. The meeting is open to the public; however, due to access procedures, the names and

telephone numbers of those planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office no later than April 18, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Information Security Oversight Office, Suite 530, 750 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006.

Written statements may be forwarded by mail to the above address, or faxed to (202) 395-7460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the meeting or to submit the names of those planning to attend, contact Mrs. Neala Enfinger of the Information Security Oversight Office at (202) 395-7442.

**Sally Katzen,**

*Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 95-9488 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### WTO Dispute Settlement Proceedings Concerning Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 127(b)(1) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)), the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that a dispute settlement panel convened under the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO) at the request of Venezuela will examine an Environmental Protection Agency regulation concerning reformulated and conventional gasoline. USTR also invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in the dispute.

**DATES:** Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before May 16, 1995 in order to be assured of timely consideration by USTR in preparing its first written submission to the panel.

**ADDRESS:** Comments may be submitted to the Office of the General Counsel, Attn: Venezuela Gasoline Dispute, Room 223, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rachel Shub, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Office of

the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20506, (202) 395-7305.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At Venezuela's request, a WTO dispute settlement panel will examine whether EPA's "Regulation of Fuels and Fuel Additives: Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline," dated December 15, 1993 (59 FR 7716; February 16, 1994) is consistent with U.S. obligations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 and the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT Agreement). Australia, Canada, the European Communities and Norway have reserved their rights to intervene in the panel proceedings as third parties. (On April 10, 1995, Brazil requested separate consultations with the United States under the GATT 1994 and the TBT Agreement regarding EPA's regulation.)

Members of the panel are currently being selected, and the panel is expected to meet as necessary at the WTO headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland to examine the dispute. Under normal circumstances, the panel would be expected to issue a report detailing its findings and recommendations in six to nine months.

An earlier dispute settlement proceeding regarding the EPA regulation, which was initiated by Venezuela under the GATT 1947 (see 59 FR 52034; October 13, 1994), has been terminated.

### Major Issues Raised by Venezuela and Legal Basis of Complaint

Venezuela has asserted that EPA's regulation accords less favorable treatment to Venezuela gasoline than to U.S.-produced gasoline and to gasoline produced in third countries, and thus is inconsistent with Articles I and III of the GATT 1994 and Article 2.1 of the TBT Agreement. Venezuela has also asserted that the regulation creates unnecessary obstacles to international trade and therefore is inconsistent with Article 2.2 of the TBT Agreement.

### Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issue raised in the dispute. The provisions of 15 CFR §§ 2006.13(a) and (c) (providing that comments received will be open to public inspection) and 2006.15 will apply to comments received. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies. Pursuant to 15 CFR § 2006.15, confidential business information must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL"

in contrasting color ink at the top of each page.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA, USTR will maintain a public file on this dispute settlement proceeding, which will include a list of comments received, in the USTR Reading Room: Room 101, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Washington DC 20506. An appointment to review the docket (Docket WTO/D-1, "Venezuela-United States: U.S. EPA Gasoline Standards"), may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 10 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**Ira S. Shapiro,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 95-9516 Filed 4-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

Acting Agency Clearance Officer:  
David T. Copenhafer (202) 942-8800.

Upon Written Request, Copy Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549.  
Extension: Form 1-E, File No. 270-221; Rule 206(3)-2, File No. 270-216; Rules 8b-1 through 8b-32, File No. 270-135.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission has submitted for OMB approval requests for extensions on the following rules and form:

Form 1-E under the Securities Act of 1933, is a report made pursuant to rules 604 and 605 of Regulation E. Form 1-E is the form that a small business investment company or business development company making an offering under Regulation E uses to notify the Commission of the offering. In most cases, an offering circular is filed with the Form 1-E. Rule 604 under Regulation E specifies the filing and content of a filing of notification on Form 1-E. Rule 605 specifies the filing and use of the offering circular. For each of the 4 registrants that prepare Form 1-E and an offering circular a year, the burden hours are approximately 100 hours.

Rule 206(3)-2 permits registered investment advisers to comply with Section 206(3) of the Investment